

**Findings**

Individual findings are assessed on their impact and likelihood based on the assessment rationale in the tables below:

<b>Impact Rating</b>	<b>Assessment Rationale</b>
<b>Critical</b>	<b>A finding that could have a:</b>
	<b>Critical</b> impact on operational performance (Significant disruption to service delivery)
	<b>Critical</b> monetary or financial statement impact (In excess of 5% of service income or expenditure budget )
	<b>Critical</b> breach in laws and regulations that could result in significant fine and consequences (Intervention by regulatory body or failure to maintain existing status under inspection regime)
	<b>Critical</b> impact on the reputation of the Council (Significant reputational damage with partners/central government and/or significant number of complaints from service users)
	<b>Critical</b> impact on the wellbeing of employees or the public (Loss of life/serious injury to employees or the public)
<b>Major</b>	<b>A finding that could have a:</b>
	<b>Major</b> impact on operational performance (Disruption to service delivery)
	<b>Major</b> monetary or financial statement impact (1-5% of service income or expenditure budget )
	<b>Major</b> breach in laws, regulations or internal policies and procedures (non compliance will have major impact on operational performance, monetary or financial statement impact or reputation of the service)
	<b>Major</b> impact on the reputation of the service within the Council and/or complaints from service users
<b>Minor</b>	<b>A finding that could have a:</b>
	<b>Minor</b> impact on operational performance (Very little or no disruption to service delivery)
	<b>Minor</b> monetary or financial statement impact (less than 1% of service income or expenditure budget )
	<b>Minor</b> breach in internal policies and procedures (non compliance will have very little or no impact on operational performance, monetary or financial statement impact or reputation of the service)

<b>Likelihood</b>	<b>Assessment criteria</b>
<b>Probable</b>	Highly likely that the event will occur (>50% chance of occurring)
<b>Possible</b>	Reasonable likelihood that the event will occur (10% - 50% chance of occurring)
<b>Unlikely</b>	The event is not expected to occur (<10% chance of occurring)

## ASSURANCE OPINION METHODOLOGY

## APPENDIX 3

### Overall Finding Rating

This grid is used to determine the overall finding rating.

LIKELIHOOD			
Probable	M	H	H
Possible	L	M	H
Unlikely	L	L	L
	Minor	Major	Critical
	<b>IMPACT</b>		

### Priority of our recommendations

We define the priority of our recommendations arising from each overall finding as follows;

<b>High</b>	Action that is considered imperative to ensure that the service/system/process objectives are not exposed to <b>significant risk</b> from weaknesses in critical or key controls
<b>Medium</b>	Action required to ensure that the service/system/process objectives are not exposed to <b>major risk</b> from weaknesses in controls
<b>Low</b>	Action required to ensure that the service/system/process objectives is not exposed to <b>minor risk</b> from weaknesses in controls
<b>Advisory</b>	Action that is considered desirable to address minor weaknesses in control that if implemented may not reduce the impact or likelihood or a risk occurring but should result in enhanced control or better value for money.

### Overall Assurance Opinion

Based upon the ratings of findings and recommendations arising during the audit as summarised in risk matrix above we define the overall conclusion of the audit through the following assurance opinions:

<b>Full Assurance</b>	There is a sound system of control designed to achieve the process/system/service objectives and manage the risks to achieving those objectives. (No H, M or L findings/recommendations)
<b>Substantial Assurance</b>	Whilst there is a sound system of control, there are some weaknesses, which may put some of the system objectives at minor risk. (No H or M findings/recommendations)
<b>Moderate Assurance</b>	Whilst there is basically a sound system of control, there are some weaknesses, which may put some of the system objectives at major risk. (No H findings/recommendations)
<b>Limited Assurance</b>	There are weaknesses in key areas in the system of control, which put the system objectives at significant risk.(H findings/recommendations)
<b>No Assurance</b>	Control is weak as controls in numerous key areas are ineffective leaving the system open to significant risk of error or abuse